

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,722	08	3/27/2001	Ronald A. Schachar	PRES06-00217	5803
7	590	09/30/2003			
Docket Clerk				EXAMINER	
P.O. Drawer 80 Dallas, TX 75				WILLSE, DAVID H	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738 DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~
	Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/940,722	SCHACHAR, RONALD A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dave Willse	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED September 22, 2003, FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	n
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fe the final Office action; or (2) as se	e under t forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amen	dment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	to issues which were new	ly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			l
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 61-79.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disar	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	(-)(		
Galor		Bulla	
		Dave Willse Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) inuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations pertaining to the elongated body free ends require further consideration of the prior art. /The Response does not specifically point out the support in the original disclosure for the previously added claims and claim limitations (MPEP 714.02).